

**SYMMETRY FESTIVAL 2009**  
**BUDAPEST, 31 July - 5 August**

**CHIRALITY IN METRIC SPACES**

**PART 1: An Unifying Symmetry Definition**

**PART 2: A General Definition of Chirality**

- \* **based on group theory**
- \* **works in << *NON-EUCLIDEAN* >> Spaces**

**Michel Petitjean**

CEA/DSV/iBiTec-S/SB2SM (CNRS URA 2096)  
F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France.

Email: [petitjean.chiral@gmail.com](mailto:petitjean.chiral@gmail.com), [michel.petitjean@cea.fr](mailto:michel.petitjean@cea.fr)

<http://petitjeanmichel.free.fr/itoweb.petitjean.html>

<http://petitjeanmichel.free.fr/itoweb.petitjean.symmetry.html>

## PART 1. An Unifying Symmetry Definition

### EXAMPLES

Symmetric object: cube, sphere, ...

Symmetric matrix

Symmetric function:  $f(x,y) = f(y,x)$   
(  $x,y,f$  are not necessarily real numbers )

Symmetric function/curve:  $f(x) = f(-x)$  ;  $g(x) = -g(-x)$

Symmetric distribution, e.g. Gaussian

Symmetric string or word: AAABBBAAA, RADAR, 1234321, ...

Symmetric graph, in the sense of graph theory  
( nodes and edges structure, no euclidean coordinates )

**In fact, WHAT is indeed symmetric ???**

**REAL OBJECTS ARE NEVER SYMMETRIC**

**NO PHYSICALLY EXISTING THING/OBJECT IS  
SYMMETRIC**

**ONLY MATHEMATICAL MODELS (in our mind) OF  
WHAT PHYSICALLY EXISTS CAN BE SYMMETRIC !!**

**SO, WHAT IS THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR  
SYMMETRY ?**

**DO WE NEED SEVERAL MATHEMATICAL MODELS  
FOR SYMMETRY ?**

**ONE MAIN MODEL APPLIES TO MOST SITUATIONS**

**Intuitively, an object is symmetric when it coincides with one of its transforms, but NOT all transforms are allowed:**

**\*\*\* distances must be preserved \*\*\***

We define a set  $E$ .

Its elements may be called points, symbols, digits, letters, etc.

$E$  is NOT a set of objects

**An object is a function  $Y$  having its input argument in  $E$**

Remark 1:

$Y$  has always 1 argument.

E.g. we note  $Y(x)$  with  $x=(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  rather than  $Y(x_1, x_2, x_3)$

Remark 2:

We don't care about the type of the value returned by  $Y$   
(can be a tuple of values of any types, as for  $x$ )

We must be able to decide when an object is *identical* to another object

**IDENTITY, EQUALITY, EQUIVALENCE, etc...**

The concept above has sense under the following conditions:

(a1) An object is identical to itself.

(a2) IF an object is identical to a second object THEN then this second object is identical to the first one.

(a3) If an object is identical to a second object AND this second object is identical to a third object, THEN the first object is identical to the third object.

The properties (a1), (a2), and (a3) define the so-called *equivalence relation*, inducing the existence of *equivalence classes*.

THE MATHEMATICAL SYMBOL = SATISFIES TO THE THREE PROPERTIES: (a1), (a2), and (a3).

IT WAS CREATED TO HANDLE THE CONCEPT BEHIND THE WORDS: IDENTITY, EQUALITY, EQUIVALENCE, etc...

THE DEBATE ABOUT TERMINOLOGY  
(IDENTITY, EQUALITY, EQUIVALENCE)  
IS THUS MEANINGLESS.

Basic assumption: **E is a metric space**

It means that we are able to compute the distance between any two elements of E.

Having defined the metric space E and the equality between objects, we need to define the set F of transforms.

Basic assumption about transforms:

**Objects defined on E are transformed  
via transforms over the elements of E**

An object Y, which is a function having its input argument x in E, is such that  $Y(x)$  is changed to  $Y(U(x))$ .

U is to be specified further. For clarity, we will denote  $U(x)$  by  $Ux$ .

E.g., for a geometric rotational symmetry in the 3D space, the basic assumption about transforms means that the full 3D space is rotated, not the object.

## Other assumptions about the transforms

H1: Any element  $x$  of  $E$  has at most one image through a given transform

Should it happen that some  $x$  has two images through  $U$ , we would consider that we are in fact dealing with two transforms.

H2: Any element  $x$  of  $E$  has at least one image through a given transform

We consider that any element of  $E$  can be transformed by any element of  $F$  ( $F$  is the set of transforms).

Otherwise there would exist at least one  $x$  which could not be transformed by some  $U$  in  $F$ .

In this situation, we consider that in fact  $U$  transforms  $x$  into  $x$ .

*We do not want to privilege the role of an object over the role of its image.*

H3: All transforms  $U$  of  $F$  are injections of  $E$  in  $E$

H3 is just H1 applied to  $U^{-1}$

H4: All transforms  $U$  of  $F$  are surjections of  $E$  in  $E$

H4 is just H2 applied to  $U^{-1}$

Collecting H1, H2, H3, H4:  $U$  is a bijection from  $E$  onto  $E$ .

For a finite set  $E$ ,  $U$  is called a permutation.

## GROUPS: where ? why ?

*Here we consider the operation defined by  
the composition of bijections*

- \* The set  $G$  of all bijections of  $E$  onto  $E$  is a group.

*$E$  is a metric space:*

*only distance-preserving bijections (isometries) are retained.*

*(e.g. reshaping some figure in the Euclidean plane is not  
convenient in a symmetry study context)*

- \* The set of all isometries of  $E$  onto  $E$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

**We define  $F$  as being this subgroup**

**The group  $F$  acts (operates) on  $E$**

An object  $Y$  is a function on the metric space  $E$  transformed by distance-preserving bijections (isometries) of the elements of  $E$ .



## Defining Symmetry. 1. More groups

**An object  $Y$  is symmetric if there is a bijection  $U$  of  $F$ ,  
(with  $U$  not equal to the neutral element of  $F$ ),  
such that for any element  $x$  of  $E$ ,  $Y(Ux)=Y(x)$**

We consider the subset  $S_{YF}$  of  $F$  containing all the elements  $U$  of  $F$  such that for any element  $x$  of  $E$ ,  $Y(Ux)=Y(x)$ .

( $S_{YF}$  is not empty because it contains the neutral element of  $F$ )

\*  $S_{YF}$  is shown to be a subgroup of  $F$

None of the groups  $G$ ,  $F$ , and  $S_{YF}$  are commutative, in general.

## Defining Symmetry. 2. More groups (continued)

We can define the transform of an object:

The transform  $T$  of an object  $Y$  is an object  $TY$  such that for all  $x$  in  $E$ ,  $(TY)(x) = Y(U^{-1}x)$

*The theory works also with  $(TY)(x) = Y(Ux)$*

Let  $\Theta$  be the set of transforms of objects.

The composition of the isometries (elements  $U$  of  $F$ ) induces the composition of the elements  $T$  of  $\Theta$ .

\*  $\Theta$  is shown to be a **group** acting on the set of objects.

$\Theta$  is not commutative, in general.

### SYMMETRY OPERATOR:

We define the set  $S_Y$  of symmetry operators of  $Y$ , as being the subset of  $\Theta$  containing all elements  $T$  such that  $Y = TY$ .

### Alternate symmetry definition

**An object  $Y$  is symmetric if the set of its symmetry operators contains at least two elements.**

## More groups (end).

\*  $S_Y$  is shown to be a subgroup of  $\Theta$ .

### Immediate properties of symmetry operators

For all  $T$  in  $S_Y$ ,  $T^m = T$  for any signed integer  $m$ .

$T_1$  and  $T_2$  being two elements of  $S_Y$ , they operate commutatively:  
 $T_1 T_2 Y = T_2 T_1 Y$ .

Moreover the symmetry operators themselves commute:

$S_Y$  is thus a COMMUTATIVE group.

*So far, we encountered 5 groups during our symmetry study !!!*

(  $G$ ,  $F$ ,  $S_{YF}$ ,  $\Theta$ ,  $S_Y$  )

*All these groups appeared naturally:  
no group structure was arbitrarily imposed.*

*We rediscovered the links between symmetry and group theory.*

## EXAMPLES

- \* A real function  $Y$  of a real variable  $x$  such that  $Y(x)=Y(-x)$   
(works even when  $Y$  is not a real function)
- \* A square, a cube, a sphere, etc.:  $Y$  takes values in  $\{0 ; 1\}$   
( $Y$  is the indicator function of the domain)
- \* Chess board:  $Y$  takes values in the set  $\{\text{black, white, nil}\}$
- \* A real function  $y$  of a real variable  $x$  such that  $y(x)=-y(-x)$   
We have NOT defined the negation operator for functions.  
Thus we consider the indicator function  $Y$  of the planar curve  $y(x)$ .
- \* Probability distribution such as Gaussian, etc.:  
We look for the symmetry of the distribution function,  
or the symmetry of the density function (if existing).
- \* Chemistry: e.g., molecular conformer Cl-CHF-Cl.  
It can be viewed as a distribution of masses, or a distribution of charges (use a cartesian product), or we can use a set of colors such as  $\{ C, H, F, Cl, \text{nil} \}$ . There are other models.
- \* Palindromas: RADAR, 0001111000, etc.  
 $E$  is a finite set of symbols. The places of the symbols in a word of length  $n$  are numbered  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . The distance between the two symbols located respectively at places  $i$  and  $j$  is  $|j-i|$ .
- \* Matrices (elements are not necessary numbers): as above,  
except that places are numbered with two indices  $i=(i_1, i_2)$ .  
Distances are computed with the usual norm:  $\|j-i\|$ .
- \* A graph with  $m$  nodes (e.g., in chemistry, CH<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-OH):  
 $Y$  returns the value of the  $m^2$  edges (0/1, colors, weight, etc.).

## PART 2. A General Definition of Chirality

**Chirality/achirality is usually defined in EUCLIDEAN spaces**

An object is achiral if it is identical to one of its images through an *INDIRECT* isometry, i.e. through a composition of any number of translations and of rotations, and of an *ODD* number of mirror inversions.

Question:

Can we extend the classification of euclidean symmetries according to the type (direct/indirect) of isometries they involve, to all non-euclidean symmetries ?

Answer: **YES WE CAN**

**It can be defined in any metric space:  
no need of the Euclidean structure!**

**Framework: group theory**

## GROUP STRUCTURE

Given a group  $G$ , we consider the subset of  $G$  generated by the products of squared elements of  $G$ .

This subset  $G^+$  always exists because it contains at least the neutral element of  $G$ .

$G^+$  is shown to be itself a group and it is called the direct subgroup of  $G$ .

We define the subset  $G^- = G - G^+$

$G^-$  may be void (e.g., when  $G$  has only one element).

*We assume further that  $G^-$  is not empty.*

- \* The product of two elements of  $G^+$  is in  $G^+$
- \* The product of an element of  $G^+$  by an element of  $G^-$  is in  $G^-$
- \* The product of an element of  $G^-$  by an element of  $G^+$  is in  $G^-$
- \* The product of two elements of  $G^-$  is either in  $G^+$  or in  $G^-$
- \* The involutions of  $G^-$  are called mirrors

Thus, the square of a mirror is the neutral element of  $G$ , but the mirror cannot be a product of squared elements of  $G$ .

## CLASSIFICATION OF ISOMETRIES

The group  $F$  of isometries over  $E$  can be partitioned into its direct subgroup  $F^+$  and the complement  $F^-$  of the direct subgroup to  $F$ :  
 $F^- = F - F^+$

The isometries of  $F^+$  are called *direct isometries*

The isometries of  $F^-$  are called *indirect isometries*

An object having symmetry due to a direct isometry has *direct symmetry*

An object having symmetry due to an indirect isometry has *indirect symmetry*

An object having indirect symmetry is called *achiral*

An object which is not achiral is called *chiral*

Extensions:

- \* When  $F^-$  is empty, a symmetric object is called chiral.
- \* A non symmetric object is called chiral.

## EXAMPLES

\* Euclidean spaces:

It is proved that our definition of chirality/achirality is equivalent to the usual one.

\* Strings, words, finite or infinite sequences of symbols:

...ABCABCABC... is symmetric and chiral.

RADAR, ...ABCCBAABCCBA... and ...ABCBAABCBA... are symmetric and achiral.

\* Graphs (nodes and edges):

Graph automorphisms involve permutations.

These latter can be decomposed into independent cycles.

Except the identity,

permutations containing only cycles of length 1 or 2 are mirrors.

A permutation  $P$  is in the direct subgroup of isometries if and only if all its cycles have an odd length.

E.g., the molecular graph of water H-O-H is symmetric and achiral (as the word RADAR), and all molecular graphs containing either methylene groups -CH<sub>2</sub>- or methyl groups CH<sub>3</sub>- are symmetric and achiral.

*The symmetries of the molecular graphs must not be confused with the geometric symmetries of the molecular conformers.*



## CONCLUSIONS

### \* ABOUT PART 1:

**We propose our unifying symmetry definition to be the official one of the ISA.**

*It is not proved to encompass all practical symmetry situations.*

*But do we know "all" situations ?*

*Symmetrists are welcome to provide counterexamples.*

*A mathematical definition is intended to decide in which situations there is symmetry.*

*This is a terminology problem, not a math problem.*

### \* ABOUT PART 2:

**We should look at the consequences of our chirality/achirality definition in more spaces, such as hyperbolic spaces.**

*If it appears to be satisfactory, it may be further proposed to be an official definition, too.*